DOI: https://doi.org/10.36489/saudecoletiva.2021v11i69p7000

# Pressure injuries in adults with multi resistant germs: a cohort study

Lesões por pressão em adultos portadores de germes multirresistentes: um estudo de coorte Lesiones por presión en adultos con gérmenes multiresistentes: un estudio de cohorte

#### **RESUMO**

Objetivo: Descrever o desfecho das lesões por pressão em adultos portadores de germes multirresistentes. Método: Estudo de coorte, realizado com pacientes hospitalizados em um hospital público do sul do Brasil. A amostra foi de 110 lesões a partir do estágio 2, com coleta de dados através do Bates-Jensen Wound Assessment Tool – BWAT, do Pressure Ulcer Scale for Healing (PUSH) e da Nursing Outcomes Classification (NOC). A análise estatística foi descritiva e analítica. Resultados: A idade média foi 45,4 ± 21,3 anos e 63,8% com mais de uma lesão. Eram 26,4% de estágio 2, 31,9% na região sacra, com mediana de 14 cm2. Houve redução significativa das lesões a partir da 6° avaliação, após 35 dias de internação, nos três instrumentos (p< 0,001). Conclusão: Este estudo demonstrou boa evolução nas lesões por pressão dos pacientes portadores de germes multirresistentes, reforçando a importância do cuidado de enfermagem estruturado através de protocolo assistencial.

**DESCRITORES:** Úlcera por pressão; Cuidados de enfermagem; Resistência bacteriana a antibióticos.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Objective: To describe the outcome of pressure injuries in adults with multiresistant germs. Method: Cohort study, carried out with patients hospitalized in a public hospital in southern Brazil. The sample consisted of 110 injuries from stage 2, with data collection through the Bates-Jensen Wound Assessment Tool – BWAT, the Pressure Ulcer Scale for Healing (PUSH) and the Nursing Outcomes Classification (NOC). Statistical analysis was descriptive and analytical. Results: The mean age was 45.4 ± 21.3 years and 63.8% had more than one lesion. There were 26.4% of stage 2, 31.9% in the sacral region, with a median of 14 cm2. There was a significant reduction in injuries from the 6th assessment, after 35 days of hospitalization, in the three instruments (p<0.001). Conclusion: This study showed good evolution in pressure injuries in patients with multiresistant germs, reinforcing the importance of structured nursing care through a care protocol.

**DESCRIPTORS:** Pressure ulcer; Nursing care; Drug resistance bacterial; Standardized nursing terminology.

#### **RESUMEN**

Objetivo: Describir el resultado de las lesiones por presión en adultos con gérmenes multirresistentes. Método: estudio de cohorte, realizado con pacientes hospitalizados en un hospital público del sur de Brasil. La muestra consistió en 110 lesiones de la etapa 2, con recolección de datos a través de la herramienta de evaluación de heridas de Bates-Jensen - BWAT, la escala de cicatrización de úlceras por presión (PUSH) y la clasificación de resultados de enfermería (NOC). El análisis estadístico fue descriptivo y analítico. Resultados: La edad media fue de 45,4 ± 21,3 años y el 63,8% presentaba más de una lesión. Hubo 26,4% de estadio 2, 31,9% en la región sacra, con una mediana de 14 cm2. Hubo una reducción significativa de lesiones a partir de la sexta evaluación, después de 35 días de hospitalización, en los tres instrumentos (p <0,001). Conclusión: Este estudio mostró una buena evolución en las lesiones por presión en pacientes con gérmenes multirresistentes, lo que refuerza la importancia del cuidado de enfermería estructurado a través de un protocolo de atención.

DESCRIPTORES: Úlcera por presión; Atención de Enfermería; Farmacorresistencia Bacteriana

**RECEBIDO EM:** 30/06/2021 **APROVADO EM:** 27/07/2021

# **ANDREIA BARCELLOS TEIXEIRA MACEDO**

Nurse. Doctoral student PPGEnf UFRGS. Hospital das Clínicas de Porto Alegre (HCPA) ORCID: 0000-0003-4219-4731

# **DÉBORAH BULEGON MELLO**

Nurse. Master PPGEnf UFRGS. Hospital das Clínicas de Porto Alegre (HCPA). ORCID: 0000-0003-2289-671X

## **ARIANE GRACIOTTO**

Nurse. Specialist in Sex Education by the Institute of Sexology and Family Orientation. Hospital das Clínicas de Porto Alegre (HCPA).

ORCID: 0000-0001-6907-2545

## **CAROLINA LOPES SEVERO CORTELINI**

Nurse. Specialist in Urgency and Emergency UFRGS. Hospital das Clínicas de Porto Alegre (HCPA). ORCID: 0000-0002-8748-5906

#### **ELISANGELA SOUZA**

Nurse. Master's student PPGEnf UFRGS. Hospital das Clínicas de Porto Alegre (HCPA) ORCID: 0000-0001-7194-9764

#### **NEUSA PICETTI**

Nurse. Hospital das Clínicas de Porto Alegre (HCPA). ORCID: 0000-0003-0242-5363

#### INTRODUCTION

The chronicity of the diseases and the need for multiple treatments increased the occurrence of bacterial resistance. Patients with multi resistant germs (MRG) are at high risk of involvement by pressure injury (PI) because they have a higher level of dependence for care, in addition to having a higher risk of PI infected with MRG, due to skin colonization, with unfavorable outcomes such as delayed healing, interruption of the healing process, sepsis and death. In addition to the harm caused to the patient, studies point to a significant increase in hospital costs. (1–4).

PI is characterized by damage to the skin and/or underlying structures, usually bony prominences, caused by pressure alone or combined with shear and/or friction and are classified according to the degree of damage observed in the tissues. (5) Its incidence is between 4 and 16% in patients hospitalized in developed countries, with a greater number in the Intensive Care Units (ICU), which can vary between 11,1% and 64,3% in other places of hospitalization. Both the occurrence and the healing of PI are processes directly related to the patient's health conditions and investment in prevention in health institutions. (6,7)

The nursing team has a fundamental

PI is characterized
by damage to
the skin and/
or underlying
structures, usually
bony prominences,
caused by pressure
alone or combined
with shear and/
or friction and are
classified according
to the degree of
damage observed in
the tissues

PI is characterized by damage to the skin and/or underlying structures, usually bony prominences, caused by pressure alone or combined with shear and/or friction and are classified according to the degree of damage observed in the tissues. role in the prevention and treatment of PI, supported by care protocols in health institutions. The nurse is the professional with knowledge and competence to assess the PI risk, assess the skin and present lesions, and prescribe preventive measures or for individualized treatment, in addition to guiding and supervising the nursing staff in caring for this individual. (8,9)

In addition to the predictive risk scales, it is necessary to observe the PIs, when they are already developed. The assessment and monitoring of PI through instruments can support the nursing team for decision-making in relation to the treatment, as well as measure the effect of interventions performed, standardizing the language with nomenclature based on scientific evidence. (10)

Classification systems qualify the nursing process, supporting clinical reasoning and enabling better care practices, optimizing communication, recording and care management, by maintaining a standardized language. In this way, the assessment instruments can support the elaboration



of an individualized care plan, guiding the interventions to be carried out. (11)

Classification systems qualify the nursing process, supporting clinical reasoning and enabling better care practices, optimizing communication, recording and care management, by maintaining a standardized language. In this way, the assessment instruments can support the elaboration of an individualized care plan, guiding the interventions to be carried out. (12) Photobiomodulation, for example, has shown positive effects in the treatment of pressure ulcers, accelerating tissue proliferation, increasing local vascularization and forming a more organized granulation tissue, favoring rapid healing. (13)

The protocol used in the institution of the study recommends measures to prevent PI as well as dressings for dressings, according to the stage of the injury, assuming that the nursing staff are not just mere recipients of information, but active agents and transformers of the reality of patients (14) bringing autonomy to nursing work. However, follow-up studies of PI healing in patients in inpatient units are still scarce, being more frequent in home care. (15)

The justification for this study is based on the need to produce knowledge about the evolution of PI in patients with MRG, given a knowledge gap. The authors' care experience in an inpatient sector for adults with RMG was the motivation for the research, where empirically it was found that many patients are hospitalized with PI and present a favorable evolution of the lesions, even with several comorbidities. Thus, the objective of the research was to describe the outcome of PIs in adult patients with multi resistant germs.

## **METHOD**

Cohort study carried out in the inpatient unit for patients with MRG in a public hospital in southern Brazil. The research institution has a consolidated PI prevention and treatment protocol.

The population consisted of adults with MRG with PI acquired at the institution or from the community, from stage 2

Classification systems qualify the nursing process, supporting clinical reasoning and enabling better care practices, optimizing communication, recording and care management, by maintaining a standardized language

onwards, with any evolution time. Patients who, for whatever reason, did not have at least two measurements for comparison were excluded. Readmissions were treated as a new patient and a new injury, given the possibility of worsening in other sectors or at home.

The sample size calculation is based on the estimated Callegari-Jacques correlation strength. (16) Considering a significance level of 5%, power of 85%, an estimate of a minimum correlation coefficient of 0,3 between the variables, a total of 110 injuries were obtained. Selection was random according to the order of admission. Data were collected by previously trained nurses, through the weekly application of the research protocol throughout the hospital stay, from January to April 2017, within 48 hours after admission to the sector and for up to seven weeks, the maximum length of stay of the patients with PI in the period.

In order to reduce measurement bias, data collection was performed through the application of three validated instruments, the Bates-Jensen Wound Assessment Tool (BWAT), (17) Pressure Ulcer Scale for Healing (PUSH), (18) and the Nursing Outcomes Classification (NOC) based on the expected result "Wound Healing: second intention" in the Physiological Health domain, Tissue Integrity class. (19) The PI size was measured using a disposable ruler.

Data were collected through information from the patients' electronic medical records and by direct inspection of pressure injuries and analyzed with the aid of the "Statistical Package for the Social Sciences<sup>®</sup>" (SPSS), version 22.

The variables were analyzed individually using descriptive and analytical statistics using the Pearson correlation test, Generalized Estimating Equation Model (GEE) and the Least Significant Difference. Values of p less than 0,05 were considered statistically significant.

The research was approved with CAEE 57253616.7.0000.5327 and met the national and international standards of ethics in research involving human beings, according to Resolution 466/12 of the National Health Council. (20) The participant or



responsible family member signed the Informed Consent Form when agreeing to participate in the research.

#### **RESULTS**

110 lesions from 36 patients were followed up for up to 7 weeks. The description of the sociodemographic data of the monitored patients is shown in Table 1. There was no PI developed in the sector during the research period and 32 (88,9%) arrived at the institution with an injury.

In the 23 patients with more than one lesion, there was a variation in the number between two and twelve PI. The most affected region was the sacrum (35; 31,9%), followed by the lower limbs (24; 21,8%) trochanters (23; 21%), glutes (15; 13,6%), ischiums (5; 4,5%), back (4; 3,6%), and other locations (4; 3,6%).

At the time of admission, 43 (39.1%) injuries were stage 2, 29 (26,4%) were stage 3, 24 (21,8%) were stage 4 and 14 (12,7%) were indefinite. The size of the wounds ranged from 0,25 to 651 cm2, with a median of 14 cm2. Table 2 shows the result of the follow-up of the PI. Size measurement using a ruler showed an increase in the PI area. The PUSH assessment showed a significant increase in the 4th assessment, which was reduced in the 6th assessment and remained in the 7th assessment, reaching significantly lower levels when compared to the first assessments. As for the BWAT, there was a significant reduction over time, being similar between the 3rd and 4th assessments and in the last two assessments.

Regarding the NOC, there is a significant increase from the 1st assessment to the

Table 1- Description of sociodemographic data of patients with multi resistant germs with pressure injury. Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil, 2021. (n=36)							
SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC DATA	RESULTS						
AGE* AGE GROUP**	45,4 ± 21,3 years						
Teenager (12-18 y/o) Adult (19-59 y/o)	3 (8,3%) 24 (66,7%)						
Elderly (over 60 years old)	9 (25%)						
MALE SEX**	23 (63,9%)						
NUTRITIONAL STATUS**							
Eutrophics Malnourished Overweight	17 (47,2%) 17 (47,2%) 2 (5,6%)						
NUMBER OF PRESSURE INJURIES**							
One injury More than one injury	13 (36,1%) 23 (63,8%)						
PROVENANCE**							
Emergency department Other inpatient units Intensive treatment center Other hospitals	13 (36,1%) 10 (27,8%) 8 (22,2%) 5 (13,9%)						
* Mean and standard deviation  ** N(%) Source: survey data, 2021							

2nd assessment, which was reduced in the 3rd assessment, increasing again in the 4th assessment, remained in the 5th assessment and reduced to lower levels than the baseline in the 6th and 7th evaluation. Nutritional status was similar between assessments

(p=0.068).

## **DISCUSSION**

There was a predominance of male patients and young adults, which corrobora-

						•			
Table 2- Comparison of size means and pressure injury assessment scales during the research period. Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil, 2017. (n=110)									
DAYS	1ST EVALUATION	2ND 7 DAYS	3RD 14 DAYS	4TH 21 DAYS	5TH 28 DAYS	6TH 35 DAYS	7TH 42 DAYS	P**	
Cm	40,3±9,5ab	35,1±8,4a	39,3±9,7ab	52,8±16,3ab	43,2±10,5ab	56,8±12,0b	56,2±13,8ab	0,013	
PUSH	12,0±0,4bc	11,5±0,5bc	11,3±0,6b	13,2±0,5d	13,2±0,8cd	7,3±1,5a	6,3±1,5a	<0,001	
BWAT	34,8±1,0e	33,4±1,0d	25,0±2,2bc	26,5±3,3c	19,1±3,6ab	18,4±3,7a	15,4±3,8a	<0,001	
NOC	65,1±0,9 c	66,6±0,9 d	53,2±3,7 b	66,4±1,2 cd	67,4±1,5 cd	35,1±7,0 a	34,7±8,2 a	<0,001	

<sup>\*</sup> n(%):

<sup>\*\*</sup> Generalized Estimating Equation Model (GEE); PUSH- Pressure Ulcer Scale for Healing; BWAT- Bates-Jensen Wound Assessment Tool; NOC- Nursing Outcomes Classification. a,b,c,d,e Equal letters do not differ by the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test at 5% significance. Source: Survey data. 2021.

tes data found in a study carried out with individuals with spinal cord trauma and PI in the State of Goiás. (21) As for nutritional status, the number of eutrophic patients represented 47,2%, the same amount identified as malnourished, while in the study mentioned above, the prevalence was eutrophic, followed by overweight/obese patients. (21)

The highest prevalence of PI in the sacral region (35; 31,9%), similar to that found in national and international studies. This is a worrying finding, since this type of injury is the most difficult to treat and the most costly for patients and health services, both from a financial point of view and related to healthcare risk management. (4,22)

This study identified that there was a favorable outcome in PI, with a considerable reduction in the last two inspections in the assessment carried out through instruments, despite the fact that these were chronic patients with MRG. The result found in this research reflects the quality of care provided by nursing, a professional who is available 24 hours a day, and the existence of well-defined care protocols in the institution.

The increase in size measured by a ruler may have occurred due to difficulty in measuring in some areas, such as the sacral and gluteal region, or due to measurement error, since the values found do not follow a parameter like the other results. It is known that the instruments make it possible to analyze the PI in a more detailed way and the results found show a reduction in other indicators of the lesion, such as drainage, necrosis, among other characteristics. (10)

The result obtained in BWAT showed a reduction after 28 days of hospitalization and in PUSH and NOC after 35 days. An assessment carried out in elderly people with PI under home follow-up identified a reduction in PI between four and six weeks, with a median of 44 days, (15) similar to the one found in this research. Regarding the stage of the injury and healing time, it was found that the PIs of hospitalization showed greater tissue involvement, with a predominance of stage 3, 4 and non-stable (60.9%) lesions, differing from the stu-

dy with elderly people at home. This data indicates that the most severe PIs during hospitalization had the same healing time as stage 2 injuries at home. (15)

The use of scales is identified as an ideal strategy for monitoring the healing of PIs and allows the nurse to make a careful assessment of the lesions. It can be seen that PUSH has been the most used instrument, due to its practicality in its application, with BWATS in second place. (23) Noteworthy here is the use of the NOC, which is a standardized nursing language system and has been gaining space in clinical studies and research on PI to assess the interventions performed by nursing, in addition to directing care planning. The NOC indicators guide the planning of nursing interventions for PI, including the type of dressing, frequency of exchanges and use of complementary therapies, among others. (11)

The development of PI increases the severity of patients and is related to the emergence of infections, electrolyte disturbances, congestive heart failure, paralysis, weight loss, cardiovascular procedures, invasive mechanical ventilation, with a consequent increase in hospital stay and the possibility of readmissions. (4) It is considered a serious adverse event within healthcare institutions. However, this study identified PI prior to admission, indicating the need for more effective public and social policies.

The education of care teams is seen as an ally in the implementation of recommended protocols, in addition to empowerment on the subject. Although many professionals know the prevention strategies for this type of adverse event in clinical practice, these measures are not always used. (24) There may be many barriers that distance the real panorama from an ideal scenario, but it must be considered that, given the consequences of this type of event, preventive measures are the action to be prioritized.

## CONCLUSION

This study achieved its objective by identifying a reduction in PIs in patients with MRG after 35 days of hospitalization. It contributes to professional practice as it demonstrates that the commitment of the nursing team in caring for patients with PI and the presence of a well-defined protocol and knowledge of everyone in the institution were effective for a favorable outcome in improving the conditions of PIs.

As a limitation of the research, it is understood that the small sample makes it difficult to generalize the data to other realities. As a contribution, it was found that the use of instruments facilitates careful assessment and standardizes the language among the members of the nursing team...

# REFERENCES

- 1. Macedo ABT, Graciotto A, Mello DB, Hansel LA, Cortelini CSL, Schöninger N. Characterization of pressure lesions in adults with multidrug resistant germs. Enferm Glob. 2020;19(3).
- 2. Kuplich NM, Gastral SL, Deutscherndorf C, Jacoby TS, Lovatto CG, Konkewicz LR, et al. Política de Prevenção da Disseminação de Germes Multirresistentes no Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre. Rev HCPA [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2018 Jun 18];31(9):80-9. Available from: http:// www.lume.ufrgs.br/bitstream/handle/10183/158080/000867729. pdf?sequence=1
- 3. Terra MR, Silva RS, Pereira MGN, Mitrovini C. Enterococcus spp e Staphylococcus aureus em lesão por pressão. Brazilian J Surg Clin Res - BJSCR [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2021 Feb 13];18(2):141-8. Available from: http://www.mastereditora.com.br/bjscr
- 4. Castanheira L, Araujo MT, Guimarães MCS e S, Silva YO de W. Análise de custo da prevenção e do tratamento de lesão por pressão: revisão sistemática. Reaid [Internet]. 2019 Sep 25 [cited 2021 Jun 19];88(27):1–12. Available from: https://revistaenfermagematual. com/index.php/revista/article/view/47
- 5. Dias CTC, Santos MCS, Diniz OSE. Análise dos cuidados de enfermagem em pacientes com lesões por pressão na unidade de terapia intensiva. Saúde.com [Internet]. 2019 Mar 11 [acesso em 2020 Dec 27];15(1):1370–6. Disponível em: www.uesb.br/revista/rsc/ojs
- 6. Brasil. Nota técnica GVIMS/GGTES 03/2017 Práticas seguras para prevenção de Lesão por Pressão em serviços de. Saúde [Internet]. Agencia de Vigilância Sanitária. 2017 [cited 2020 Dec 27]. Available from: https://www20.anvisa.gov.br/segurancadopaciente/index. php/alertas/item/nota-tecnica-gvims-ggtes-03-2017
- 7. Vasconcelos JMB, Caliri MHL. Nursing actions before and after a protocol for preventing pressure injury in intensive care. Esc Anna Nery - Rev Enferm [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Sep 15];21(1). Available from: http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci arttext&pid=S1414-81452017000100201&lng=en&nrm=iso&tlng=pt
- 8. Santos El, Oliveira JGAD de, Ramos R de S, Silva ACSS da, Belém L dos S, Silva AL da. Facilidades e Dificuldades à Autonomia Profissional de Enfermeiros no Cuidado de Pessoas com Feridas: Estudo de Representações Sociais. Estima [Internet]. 2017 Mar 13 [cited 2020 Dec 27];15(1):3-9. Available from: https://www.revistaestima.com. br/estima/article/view/445
- 9. Conselho Federal de Enfermagem. Anexo da Resolução Cofen-0567/2018 [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2020 Dec 27]. Available from: http://www.cofen.gov.br/resolucao-cofenno-567-2018\_60340.html
- 10. Garbuio CD, Zamarioli MC, Silva CMN, Oliveira-Kumakura ARDS, Carvalho EC. Instrumentos para avaliação da cicatrização de lesões de pele: revisão integrativa. Rev Eletrônica Enferm [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2020 Mar 28];20. Available from: https://doi.org/10.5216/ree. v20.49425.
- 11. Santos CT, Barbosa FM, Almeida T, Einhardt RS, Eilert AC, Lucena AF. Indicadores da Nursing Outcomes Classification para avaliação de pacientes com lesão por pressão: consenso de especialistas. Esc Anna Nery [Internet]. 2021 Oct 9 [cited 2021 Jun 19];25(1):2021. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/2177-9465-EAN-2020-0155
- 12. Oliveira VC, Constante SAR. LESÃO POR PRESSÃO: uma revisão de literatura. Psicol e Saúde em Debate [Internet]. 2018 Jul 18 [cited 2021 Jul 19];4(2):95-114. Available from: http://www.psicodebate. dpgpsifpm.com.br/index.php/periodico/article/view/V4N2A6
- 13. Oliveira KF, Silva ML, Morais RM, Rodrigues TS, Silveira GWS,

- Paiva-Oliveira EL. Fotobiomodulação no tratamento de úlceras por pressão: revisão da literatura. Rev CIENTÍFICA DA FAMINAS [Internet]. 2019 Oct 28 [cited 2021 Jul 19];14(1):61-9. Available from: http://200.202.212.131/index.php/RCFaminas/article/view/395
- 14. Menegon DB, Bercini R, Brambila MI, Scola ML, Jansen MM, et al. Implantação do protocolo assistencial de prevenção e tratamento de úlcera de pressão do Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre. Clin Biomed Res. 2007;27(2).
- 15. Machado DO, Mahmud SJ, Coelho RP, Cecconi CO, Jardim GS, Paskulin LMG. Pressure injury healing in patients followed up by a home care service. Texto e Context Enferm [Internet]. 2018 Jun 21 [cited 2021 Jun 19];27(2). Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0104-07072018005180016
- 16. Callegari-Jacques SM. Bioestatística: princípios e aplicações. Porto Alegre: Editora Artmed. 255p. Artmed Editora; 2009.
- 17. Alves DFS, Almeida AO, Silva JLG, Morais FI, Dantas SRPE, Alexandre NMC. Translation And Adaptation Of The Bates-Jensen Wound Assessment Tool For The Brazilian Culture. Texto Context - Enferm [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2019 Nov 10];24(3):826-33. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?pid=S0104-07072015000300826 &script=sci\_arttext
- 18. Santos VLCG, Azevedo MAJ, Silva TS, Carvalho VMJ, Carvalho VF. Adaptação transcultural do pressure ulcer scale for healing (PUSH) para a língua portuguesa. Rev Lat Am Enfermagem. 2005 May; 13(3):305-13.
- 19. Moorhead S, Johnson M, Maas ML, Swanson E. Nursing Outcomes Classification (Noc): Measurement of Health Outcomes. 60 edição. Elsevier; 2018.
- 20. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Conselho Nacional de Saúde. Resolução CNS no 466, de Dezembro de 2012. Dispõe sobre pesquisas e testes em seres humanos. [Internet]. Diário Oficial da União: Brasília, DF 2013. Available from: http://conselho.saude.gov.br/ultimas\_noticias/2013/06\_jun\_14\_publicada\_resolucao.html
- 21. Cândido KP, Souza JC, Oliveira FM. Perfil das pessoas com lesão por pressão na reabilitação: relação entre braden e dependência funcional. Rev Enferm Atual Derme [Internet]. 2019 Apr 8 [cited 2021 Jun 19];87(25). Available from: https://www.revistaenfermagematual.com.br/index.php/revista/article/view/164
- 22. Cascão TRV, Rasche AS, Piero KC Di. Incidência e fatores de risco para lesão por pressão em unidade de terapia intensiva. Rev Enferm Atual [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2021 Jun 19];1-8. Available from:
- 23. Pinheiro MAR, Costa IKF, Matos J da C, Sousa Júnior BS, Albuquerque AM de, Silva RAR da, et al. Instrumentos de avaliação da cicatrização de lesões por pressão: revisão integrativa. Res Soc Dev [Internet]. 2020 Nov 29 [cited 2021 Jun 19];9(11):e65991110292. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.33448/rsd-v9i11.10292
- 24. Abud, ACF, Nunes MM, Silva PBDN, Lima NCL. Prevenção de lesão por pressão na assistência de enfermagem intensivista. Revista . Saúde Coletiva [Internet]. 2018 [acesso em 2021 Jul 15]8(45):2021. Disponível em: http://revistas.mpmcomunicacao.com.br/index.php/ saudecoletiva/article/view/11/475